IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

VS.

ABC VIATICALS, INC., C. KEITH LAMONDA, and JESSE W. LAMONDA, JR.,

Defendants,

and

LAMONDA MANAGEMENT FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, STRUCTURED LIFE SETTLEMENTS, INC., BLUE WATER TRUST, and DESTINY TRUST

Relief Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:06-CV-2136-P

EXAMINER'S EIGHTH INTERIM APPLICATION TO ALLOW AND PAY (1) EXAMINER'S FEES AND EXPENSES AND (2) ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT

TO THE HONORABLE JORGE A. SOLIS, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

Steven A. Harr, Examiner, files this his Eighth Interim Application to Allow and Pay (1) Examiner's Fees and Expenses, (2) Attorney's Fees and Expenses and Brief in Support of such, and would show the Court as follows:

Background

- 1. On November 17, 2006, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filed its Complaint and requested the appointment of a receiver (Dkt. 1). On that same date, the Court appointed Michael J. Quilling to serve as receiver and he has functioned in that capacity since that time (Dkt. 8).
- On November 29, 2006, the Receiver filed a Motion to Appoint Examiner (Dkt.
 On November 30, 2006, the Court granted the motion and appointed Steven A. Harr as the

3. The Court has directed that Examiner is to be compensated out of the Receivership Assets pursuant to the same procedures approved for the Receiver (Dkt. 12).

Fee Period

4. This Application seeks the Court's approval of the attorney's fees and expenses incurred by the Examiner and his counsel, Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C. ("MHKH"), for the time period of March 15, 2010 through August 15, 2010.

Amount Requested

5. The invoices for the period covered by this Application set forth total fees in the amount of \$7,815.00 and expenses of \$2,131.81 for the Examiner and MHKH for the time period from March 15, 2010 through August 15, 2010. Pursuant to the payment procedures established by this Court, the Receiver has advanced these fees and expenses, and held back ten percent (10%) of the fees, a total of \$781.50. This Application therefore seeks approval of the payments to date, and the release of the amount held back.

Summary of Work Performed

6. The central remaining issues at this point in the case are the completion of the claims review process and certain litigation. As the claims review process is drawing to a close and the litigation is continuing, an issue has arisen as to whether to effect an interim distribution, and also as to the mechanics of effecting a proper distribution in Taiwan. During the period covered by this Application, the Examiner's work has centered on communicating with investors with regard to these issues, and working with the Receiver to evaluate whether an interim distribution is appropriate in view of the challenges associated with effecting a distribution in this case, specifically with regard to the Taiwan investors. The Examiner, his counsel, and a

7. The fees and expenses incurred by the Examiner are summarized as follows:

Invoice No.	Bill Date	Fees	Expenses	Totals
10233165	03/17/10	\$3,191.00	\$910.86	\$4,101.86
10234380	04/20/10	\$1,546.50	\$363.32	\$1,909.82
10238668	07/07/10	\$1,338.00	\$351.75	\$1,689.75
10240563	08/18/10	\$1,739.50	\$505.88	\$2,254.38
		\$7,815.00	\$2,131.81	\$9,955.81

8. Additionally, the specific time entries for the Examiner and the professionals working under his direction are included on the invoices themselves, which are attached as Exhibit "A" to this Application. These invoices show: (a) the number of hours worked by each attorney and staff member on a particular day; (b) the work performed by each attorney and staff member; and (c) the rates for each person rendering service in this matter (which for some are below standard rates and for all others are at standard rates), and involvement of the Examiner and MHKH attorneys and staff in this case.

Application of Johnson Factors

9. In support of this request for allowance of compensation and reimbursement of expenses, the Examiner and MHKH respectfully direct this Court's attention to those factors generally considered by Courts in awarding compensation to professionals for services performed in connection with the administration of a receivership estate. As stated by the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals in *Reed v. Rhodes*, 179 F.3rd 453, 471 (6th Cir. 1999), "The primary concern in an attorney's fee case is that the fee awarded be reasonable." See *Blum v. Stenson*, 465 U.S. 886, 893 (1984). A reasonable fee is one that is adequate to attract competent counsel'. (cite *omitted*). Under the twelve factor test enunciated by the Fifth Circuit in *Johnson v. Georgia Hwy. Express, Inc.*, 488 F.2d 714 (5th Cir. 1974) and adopted by the Supreme Court in *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 432 (1983), a court must first determine the loadstar

- (a) The time and labor required. The Examiner and MHKH respectfully refer the Court's attention to Exhibit "A," which details the involvement of the Examiner and MHKH attorneys in this case during the period covered by this application.
- (b) The novelty and difficulty of the questions. Most of the work completed during the period covered by this Application was done by Ms. Martin, who is now entirely conversant with the issues commonly being raised by investors. To the extent that Ms. Martin encounters unique issues, she confers with either the Examiner or his counsel, or directs an inquiry to either of them for response. Determining when and how to effect an interim distribution requires experience in making distributions in receivership cases, and experience with effecting foreign distributions. Both the Examiner and his counsel have that expertise.
- (c) The requisite skill to perform the service. The done during the period covered by this Application required experience in securities enforcement receiverships and liquidations. The Examiner, his counsel, and Ms. Martin have considerable experience in those areas.
- (d) The preclusion of other employment due to the acceptance of the case. The Examiner and MHKH have not declined any representation solely because of their services as Examiner and counsel for the Examiner.
- (e) The customary fee. The hourly rates sought herein are at least commensurate with the rates charged by other practitioners of similar experience levels in the Northern District of Texas and in the case of the Examiner and his lead counsel Mr. Roossien, below their standard hourly rates. During the time period covered by this application, the following lawyers at MHKH have performed legal services on behalf of the Examiner with respect to these proceedings:

Steven A. Harr	\$400.00 per hour	Licensed in Texas in 1980 and admitted to practice in the State of Texas.

Dennis Roossien	\$355.00 per hour	Licensed in Texas in 1992 and admitted to practice in the State of Texas.
Brian DeVoss	\$280.00 per hour	Licensed in Texas in 2006 and admitted to practice in Texas.

Additionally, the following paralegals assisted with the work performed:

Mary Jo Martin	\$170.00 per hour	Rendered valuable service in connection with
		the communications with investors and
		responding to investor contacts.

- (f) Whether the fee is fixed or contingent. The Examiner and MHKH fees are fixed insofar as monies exist by way of receivership assets from which to pay such fees. Payment of such fees, however, is subject to Court approval.
- (g) <u>Time limitations imposed by the Client or other circumstances</u>. There have been no time limitations that would impact the analysis of this Application.
- (h) The amount involved and the results obtained. The communications with investors are an inherent part of effecting a proper distribution of tens of millions of dollars to investors.
- (i) The experience, reputation and ability of the attorneys. Munsch Hardt is a broad-based commercial firm with substantial experience in the handling of matters generally related to civil trial law, dispute resolution, bankruptcy and general workout matters. The practice of the attorneys specifically in this case regularly includes the representation of investors and other persons involved in business transactions in which the investors or other parties are victims or aggrieved in some fashion. Examiner and Counsel to the Examiner have also served as counsel in other large SEC Receiverships involving investor fraud on a worldwide basis. The reputation of the Examiner and MHKH attorneys is recognized and respected in their community in Texas.
- (j) The undesirability of the case. The service as Examiner and the representation of the Examiner incident to this case has not been undesirable.

(k) The nature and length of the professional relationship with the client. MHKH did not represent the Examiner in these proceedings prior to being retained in these proceedings.

(I) <u>Award in similar cases</u>. MHKH believes that the fees requested in this case are less than or equal to those which have been awarded in similar cases in this district.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Examiner and MHKH request that this Court approve all of the fees and expenses as set forth herein and for such other and further relief, general and special, at law or in equity, to which the Examiner and MHKH may show themselves justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C. 3800 Lincoln Plaza 500 North Akard Street Dallas, TX 75201-6659 (214) 740-5108 (214) 855-7584 (facsimile)

By: <u>/s/ Dennis L. Roossien</u> Dennis L. Roossien State Bar No. 00784873

Counsel for Steven A. Harr, Court Appointed Examiner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the ___ day of September, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the court for the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, using the electronic case filing system of the court. The electronic case filing system sent a "Notice of Electronic Filing" to the attorneys of record who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means.

/s/ Dennis Roossien
Dennis Roossien