NORTHERN DESTRICTION TEXAS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

Plaintiff,

VS.

Plaintiff,

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO.
3:98-CV-2689-M

FUNDING RESOURCE GROUP, a/k/a FRG Trust, et al,

Defendants,

and

HOWE FINANCIAL TRUST, an Indiana corporation, et al,

Defendants Solely for Purposes of Equitable Relief.

RECEIVER'S OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS (HAMMERSMITH TRUST RECEIVERSHIP ESTATE)

TO THE HONORABLE JEFF KAPLAN, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE:

COMES NOW, Michael J. Quilling ("Receiver") and files this his Objections to Claims (Hammersmith Trust Receivership Estate) and in support of such would respectfully show the Court as follows:

1. On November 13, 1998, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") initiated these proceedings and, in connection therewith, sought the appointment of a receiver. By Order dated November 13, 1998, the Court appointed Michael J. Quilling as Receiver and he has functioned in that capacity since that time. On July 23, 1999, the Court issued an Order

appointing Michael J. Quilling as Receiver for Hammersmith Trust, L.L.C., Hammersmith Trust, Ltd., Bridgeport Alliance, L.L.C., Landfair Custodial Services, Inc., Microfund, L.L.C., American Pacific Bank and Trust, Inc., Eurofund Investment, B. David Gilliland, and Melody Rose.

- 2. On March 9, 2001, the Receiver filed his Unopposed Motion to Designate Receivership Estates. By Order entered March 13, 2001, the Court established four different receivership estates with respect to these proceedings. One of those established is the Hammersmith Trust Receivership Estate. It is comprised of the assets and claims with respect to Hammersmith Trust, L.L.C., Hammersmith Trust, Ltd., Bridgeport Alliance, L.L.C., Landfair Custodial Services, Inc., Microfund, L.L.C., American Pacific Bank and Trust, Inc., Eurofund Investment, B. David Gilliland, and Melody Rose.
- 3. On January 12, 2001, the Receiver filed his Unopposed Motion to Establish Claim Procedures and to Approve Claim Form. By Order entered January 17, 2001, the Court approved an official claim form ("Claim Form") to be used in these proceedings and established procedures by which claims would be processed by the Receiver. The deadline for submitting the Claim Form to the Receiver was established as March 31, 2001. Subsequent to the entry of the Order, the Receiver sent the Claim Form to every known person/entity and their counsel which the Receiver thought might possibly hold a claim against the Hammersmith Trust Receivership Estate.
- 4. Since the Claim Form was sent to potential claimants, the Receiver has received numerous completed Claim Forms. However, some potential claimants did not respond. As to those potential claimants, the Receiver objects to any potential claim on the basis that they did not respond. Accordingly, the Receiver requests that the Court issue an Order that any potential claim

not received by the Receiver on or before April 1, 2004 be disallowed as to the Hammersmith Trust Receivership Estate.

5. When some potential claimants received Claim Forms, they contacted the Receiver to state that they had no claim. In each instance, the Receiver sent a letter to the individual/entity confirming that they had no claim against the Hammersmith Trust Receivership Estate. The individuals/entities are as follows:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>NOTES</u>
Jamal Al Samerai	Agree -0- claim
Elizabeth Blackney	Agree -0- claim
Joseph P. Grant	Agree -0- claim
Elmar Haag	Agree -0- claim
Interworld Pty. Ltd.	Agree -0- claim
Jamar Enterprises, Inc.	Agree -0- claim
Wood Roberts, LLC	Agree -0- claim
Omer L. Rains	Agree -0- claim
Reuven Saar	Agree -0- claim
Judith M. Scott	Agree -0- claim
John Christopher Swift	Agree -0- claim
Grosvenor Ventures, Inc.	Agree -0- claim
Norman Spivock	Agree -0- claim

The Receiver requests that the Court issue an Order that any potential claim of these individuals is disallowed as to the Hammersmith Trust Receivership Estate.

6. As the Receiver has received Claim Forms, he has reviewed the forms in order to decide to allow or to object to them. When the Receiver has had a problem with a particular Claim Form, he has attempted to resolve those problems with the claimant. In many instances, the problem has been resolved. In other instances, the Receiver has been unable to resolve the problem. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to each of those unresolved claims which are as follows:

NAME OF CLAIMANT	AN	MOUNT OF CLAIM	REASON FOR OBJECTION
Dennie C. Anderson	\$	63,750.00	Based on Claimant's claim form and the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, Claimant received in excess of \$16,000 more than he invested. In addition, the claim includes amounts for a tax liability for early withdrawal from an IRA and charges to create an offshore trust and account. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to the claim of \$63,750 and believes that the claim should be zero.
Claudio Antonini	\$	149,687.00	Based on the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, Claimant invested \$250,000 and received back \$206,250. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to the claim of \$149,687 and believes that the net claim should be \$43,750.
Elaine Boiko	\$	100,000.00	Based on Claimant's claim form and the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, she invested \$100,000 and received back \$30,000. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to the claim of \$100,000 and believes that the net claim should be \$70,000.
Joseph Cardozo	\$	100,000.00	The Receiver objects to this claim as being duplicative of another claim in the amount of \$95,000. The Receiver has no objection to Claimant's other claim in the amount of \$95,000.

NAME OF CLAIMANT	<u>A</u> I	MOUNT OF CLAIM	REASON FOR OBJECTION
Custodian Escrow Corp. David J. Gibson	\$	637,000.00	The Receiver objects to this claim because David Gibson is or has been under investigation by federal authorities for his role in raising funds from investors and should not be allowed to act as a fiduciary with respect to any distribution on account of the claim. In addition, Gibson refuses to tell the Receiver the identity of the investors from whom he aggregated the funds and/or answer any questions about the claim.
John Joseph Graden	\$	300,000.00	Based on Claimant's claim form, he invested \$300,000. Based on the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, claimant received \$532,000 from Hammersmith Trust and/or its related entities. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to his claim of \$300,000 and believes that the net claim should be zero.
Christopher N. Kazana ACTS, Ltd.	\$	400,000.00	Based on Claimant's claim form, he invested \$500,000 and received back \$100,000. Based on the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, claimant received \$602,432 from Hammersmith Trust and/or its related entities. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to his claim of \$400,000 and believes that the net claim should be zero.
Wah Ching Dave Kwok Treaty Developments, Ltd.	\$	489,000.00	Based on Claimant's claim form, he invested \$300,000. Based on the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, claimant received \$340,000 from Hammersmith Trust and/or its related entities. Claimant has failed to deduct these amounts from his claim form. In addition, claimant asserts a claim for interest. No claim should be allowed interest. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to his claim of \$489,000 and believes that the net claim should be zero.
Harold Miller Dilaver Holdings, Ltd.	\$	100,000.00	Based on Claimant's claim form, he invested \$100,000 and received back \$20,000 from Hammersmith Trust and/or its related entities. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to his claim of \$100,000 and believes that the net claim should be \$80,000.

NAME OF	\mathbf{A}	MOUNT OF	REASON FOR		
<u>CLAIMANT</u>		<u>CLAIM</u>	OBJECTION		
John Morris and Jeffrey D. Saxon	\$	429,000.00	Subsequent to his appointment as Receiver for Hammersmith Trust, the Receiver met with Jeff Saxon and John Morris in Tampa, Florida upon the conclusion of the Receiver's motion to dismiss the bankruptcy proceedings of Hammersmith Trust. As a result of that meeting they were fully aware of the Receiver's role and the Receivership Estate. Despite that knowledge however, Saxon threatened Jack Higgins (a Gilliland functionary) with criminal prosecution for bad checks issued to him by Hammersmith Trust if Higgins did not give him a deed to a house located in Michigan belonging to a trust owned by David Gilliland. Thereafter, Saxon mortgaged the property for \$425,000 and took off with the funds. The claim should be reduced by at least that much. In addition, Saxon's conduct cost the receivership estate at least \$214,000 in attorneys fees incident to the Receiver's subsequent pursuit of the asset. (See Cause No. 1:00CV826, Michael J. Quilling, Receiver v. Wolcott Lifetime Trust, et al.; filed in the U.S. District Court of Michigan, Western Division) These fees should be deducted from the claim as well. If allowed any amount at all, this claim should be equitably subordinated to all other claims based on the conduct of Saxon.		
David Bushill	\$	188,423.85	Claimant sent funds to Adrien Scheps, a known broker/aggregator. Mr. Scheps was also a solicitor in London, England. The Receiver has been contacted by the UK Law Society and informed that Mr. Bushill received all of his funds from the Compensation Fund. In addition, his claim should be subrogated to the UK Law Society.		
Kenneth Ronald Morris	\$	37,475.00	Claimant sent funds to Adrien Scheps, a known broker/aggregator. Mr. Scheps was also a solicitor in London, England. The Receiver has been contacted by the UK Law Society, and was informed that Mr. Morris received all of his funds from the Compensation Fund. In addition, his claim should be when costed to the LIK Law Society.		

subrogated to the UK Law Society.

NAME OF CLAIMANT	<u>A</u> N	MOUNT OF CLAIM	REASON FOR OBJECTION
Adrian Edward Schepps	\$	238,000.00	Claimant is a known broker/aggregator who collected funds from Kenneth Morris and David Bushill, who have filed also filed claims. In addition, the Receiver has been contacted by the UK Law Society and was informed that both Mr. Morris and Mr. Bushill received all of their funds from the Compensation Fund. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to this claim on the basis that it is duplicative and believes that the net claim should be zero.
Bill E. Porter	\$	200,000.00	There is no evidence, nor has claimant produced any documentation, that his funds were ever received by Hammersmith Trust or its related entities. Claimant appears to have sent his money to "The Sterling Trust." The Receiver believes that the claimant may have been the victim of a different scam. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to Claimant's claim of \$200,000 and believes that the net claim should be zero.
Virgil D. Strickland	\$	79,000.00	There is no evidence, nor has Claimant produced any documentation, that his funds were ever received by Hammersmith Trust or its related entities. Claimant appears to have sent his money to "Dennel Finance, Ltd." The Receiver believes that the claimant may have been the victim of a different scam. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to Claimant's claim of \$79,000 and believes that the net claim should be zero.
Ronald Yates WWST, Ltd.	\$	450,000.00	Based on Claimant's claim form, he sent Hammersmith Trust \$450,000. Based on the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, Claimant received \$1,500,130 from Hammersmith Trust and/or its related entities. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to his claim of \$450,000 and believes that the net claim should be zero.
Paul Zhernakov Free Trade Bureau	\$	250,000.00	Based on Claimant's claim form, he sent Hammersmith Trust \$250,000 and received back \$65,000. Based on the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, Claimant received \$164,000 from Hammersmith Trust and/or its related entities. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to his claim of \$250,000 and believes that the net claim should be \$86,000.

NAME OF CLAIMANT	AMOUNT OF CLAIM	REASON FOR OBJECTION
Henry M. Back	\$37,536,911.00	Based on Claimant's claim form, he only invested \$430,000. In addition, he asserts claims for unpaid interest, expenses, costs and attorney fees. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to the claim of \$37,536,911 and believes that the net claim should be \$430,000. In addition, Bo Linne has filed a duplicate claim on behalf of Mr. Back (see below).
Jeffrey A. Matz	\$ 2,900,000.00	Claimant is an incarcerated felon convicted for wire fraud, securities, and other counts for his role in the Hammersmith Trust fraud. The Receiver objects to this claim for the following reasons: (1) Claimant is a convicted felon and should not be placed into a position to act as a fiduciary and represent the individuals for which he has filed a claim; (2) Claimant has submitted claims on behalf of individuals which filed claims themselves; and (3) Claimant has failed to provide sufficient documentation to evidence his claims. Accordingly, the Receiver believes that his claim should be zero.
Humberto Hodgson, Henry Kelsey, Fab 5, Petrolkent, Aydin Kaplan, and Donald H. Shank	Unknown	Jeffrey Matz's claim, see above, included claims for these individuals. The Receiver has attempted to send claim forms to all of these individuals. However, as of April 1, 2004, the Receiver has not received a claim form from any of them. Accordingly, the Receiver requests that the Court issue an Order that any potential claim of these individuals be disallowed as to the Hammersmith Trust Receivership Estate.

NAME OF CLAIMANT	AN	MOUNT OF CLAIM	REASON FOR OBJECTION
Menno D. Wagler	\$	822,510.00	Based on Claimant's claim form, he invested \$1,100,000 and received back from Hammersmith Trust and/or its related entities \$277,490, leaving a net claim of \$822,510. In addition, Claimant's claim includes a duplicate claim for Barry A. Siskind, who filed a claim individually in the amount of \$500,000. The Receiver objects to this claim on the basis that it contains a duplicative claim for Barry Siskind and believes that the claim should be reduced by the amount of Mr. Siskind's claim. Based on Claimant's claim and that of Mr. Siskin, it appears that Mr. Wagler invested \$600,000, 54.5% of the total \$1,100,000, and Mr. Siskind invested \$500,000, 45.5% of the total \$1,100,000. Accordingly, the Receiver believes that Mr. Wagler's claim should be \$448,267.95, 54.5% of the net claim of \$822,510. Mr Siskind's claim should be \$374,242.05, 45.5% of the net claim of \$822,510.
Barry A. Siskind	\$	500,000.00	See discussion above. Claimant did not include any distributions back to him from Hammersmith Trust and/or its related entities. However, based on the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, \$277,490 was returned to Meno Wagler, a portion of which appears to be for the benefit of Claimant. Accordingly, the Receiver believes that Claimant's net claim should be \$374,242.05, which reflects the pro rata portion of the returns for his benefit.
Robert D. Gilmore	\$	300,000.00	Based on Claimant's claim form, he sent Hammersmith Trust \$300,000 and received back zero. However, based on the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, Claimant received \$45,000 from Hammersmith Trust and/or its related entities. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to his claim of \$300,000 and believes that the net claim should be reduced to \$255,000.
Janalee D. Hawkins	\$	85,000.00	Based on Claimant's claim form, she sent Hammersmith Trust \$100,000 and received back \$15,000. However, based on the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, Claimant received \$55,000 from Hammersmith Trust and/or its related entities. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to her claim of \$85,000 and believes that the net claim should be reduced to \$45,000.

NAME OF CLAIMANT AMOUNT OF CLAIM REASON FOR OBJECTION

Ramish M. Tantod

\$ 921,000.00

Claimant has asserted a duplicate claim on behalf of Jodman, Inc. In addition, the Receiver is unable to reconcile Claimant's claim against the books and records of Hammersmith Trust.

7. Many investors in the Hammersmith Trust sent their monies to brokers or aggregators. The brokers/aggregators would collect these monies from numerous individuals and then forward the aggregate amount to Hammersmith Trust, or its related entities, in the name of the broker/aggregator. Because of the nature of these transactions, it is impossible for the Receiver to determine the exact amount of investments and/or returns of the individual investors. In addition, when Hammersmith Trust paid returns, or Ponzi payments, they were paid directly to the broker/aggregator. In many instances these returns were either partially or never passed on to the individual investor. As a consequence, the Receiver received some claims by individuals for amounts greater than the broker/aggregator's net claim would have been. The following objections relate to those claims in which the individual claimants did not send their money directly to Hammersmith Trust or its related entities.

A. Bythinia, Bachman Capital, and Abesx Del Norte

8. Several individuals were responsible for raising in excess of \$ 750,000.00 from numerous individuals in Tennessee and Virginia through various entities, including Bythinia, Bachman Capital Partners, and Abesx Del Norte. Based on the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, these entities sent an aggregate amount of \$679,965.00 to Hammersmith Trust, or its related entities, and received back \$650,130.00. Accordingly, Bythinia/Bachman Capital Partners/Abesx

Del Norte would have a theoretical allowed claim of \$29,835.00. However, the Receiver has received 21 claims from individuals in the amount of \$651,222.32. The Receiver objects to each of these individuals' claims and believes that they should be given an allowed claim based on the pro rata portion of the Bythinia/Bachman Capital Partners/Abesx Del Norte net claim as set forth in Exhibit "A", attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

- B. Alan Baker, Charles Butler, Bi, Inc., Baycore Services, Ltd., Sterling Property Management, Scorpion Investments, Blue Harbor Management Group, Phoenix Financial, Inc.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Alan Baker ("Baker") and Charles Butler ("Butler") were responsible for raising a large amount of money from individuals in Missouri and surrounding states. Baker and Butler collected the individual's funds through various companies, including Baycore Services, Ltd; Bi., Inc.; Sterling Property Management; Scorpion Investments; Blue Harbor Management Group; and Phoenix Financial, Inc. There is no record of any of the individual investors sending their funds directly to an account controlled by Hammersmith Trust. Instead, it appears that they sent their money to Baker, or one of his related entities, which may or may not have been forwarded on to Hammersmith Trust. Regardless, based on the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, Baker and his related entities sent an aggregate amount of \$300,000.00 to Hammersmith Trust. However, Baker and his related entities received \$585,000.00 back from Hammersmith Trust.
- 10. Accordingly, the Receiver objects to each of the claims set forth in Exhibit "B", attached hereto and incorporated by reference, on the basis that Baker and his related entities were repaid far more than they sent to Hammersmith Trust. The Receiver requests that the Court issue

an Order that any potential claim of the individuals listed in Exhibit "B" is disallowed as to the Hammersmith Trust Receivership Estate.

- C. David Gibson, Custodian Escrow Corporation, Custodian Management Services, Ltd., and Custodian Management Corp.
- 11. David Gibson is a known broker/aggregator that raised a large amount of money from individuals in the United States and Canada through various entities, including Custodian Escrow Corporation, Custodian Management Services International, Ltd., and Custodian Management Corp. Based on the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, it appears that Mr. Gibson forwarded these monies to Jeffrey Matz ("Matz") in Phoenix, Arizona. Matz was also a known broker/aggregator who in turn forwarded these same monies to different "programs." From 1997 through 1999, Matz sent monies to both Hammersmith Trust and the "Isle of Mann" program. In 2000, in excess of \$5,000,000.00 was seized by the United States Attorneys' office in Phoenix, Arizona in connection with Matz' involvement with the Isle of Mann program (not related to these proceedings). As part of that seizure, the government identified the victims, determined the losses, and paid distributions from the seized funds to the numerous victims. As part of identifying the victims, the government determined that October 22, 1998 was the last date in which Matz forwarded funds to Hammersmith Trust. After that date Matz began to forward monies to the Isle of Mann program. Accordingly, the government determined that any victim that forwarded funds to Matz after October 22, 1998 would have a claim against the seized funds.
- 12. Gibson and his related entities sent Matz money on four separate occasions: (1) \$29,525 on June 19, 1998; (2) \$430,000 on September 10, 1998; (3) \$207,000 on October 6, 1998; and (4) \$453,230 on December 9, 1998. The Receiver believes that any individual investor money

that was a part of the December 9, 1998 transfer was sent to the Isle of Mann program and would not have a claim against the Hammersmith Trust Receivership Estate.

13. Accordingly, based on the Claimant's claim forms, the proceedings in Phoenix, and the books and records of Hammersmith Trust, the Receiver believes that the following Claimant's funds were part of the December 9, 1998 transfer and do not have a claim against the Hammersmith Trust Receivership Estate:

	Name	Claim <u>Amount</u>	Recovery from <u>AZ action</u>	Date money sent to Matz
1.	Baxter D. Laporte	\$50,000.00	\$31,995.27	November 9, 1998 - \$30,000
				December 2, 1998 - \$30,000
2.	Paul Deemert ¹	\$35,715.85	\$19,197.16	November 11, 1998
3.	Jack Deemert ¹	\$35,713.08	\$19,197.16	November 11, 1998
4.	Pat Logue	\$37,400.00	\$35,194.79	December 3, 1998
5.	Richard P. Assaly	\$370,000.00	\$120,794.18	February 10, 1998

The Receiver requests that the Court issue an Order that any potential claims of these individuals is disallowed as to the Hammersmith Trust Receivership Estate.

14. Although the Receiver will continue to try to resolve the foregoing claims until the time the objections are heard by the Court, if they are not resolved, the Receiver seeks an

¹Claimant is also making a claim for fees to create and maintain his offshore account. The Receiver also objects to any claims for these fees.

Order from the Court disallowing each of the foregoing claims or reducing the allowed amount of the claim to that amount which is agreeable to the Receiver.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Receiver prays that upon final hearing and consideration of the foregoing objections that the Court issue an Order consistent with the foregoing and for such other and further relief, general or special, at law or in equity, to which the Receiver may show himself justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

QUILLING, SELANDER, CUMMISKEY & LOWNDS, P.C.

2001 Bryan Street, Suite 1800

Dallas, Texas 75201

(214) 871-2100 (Telephone)

(214) 871-2111 (Facsimile)

By:

Michael J. Quilling

State Bar No. 16432300

D. Dee Raibourn, III

State Bar No. 24009495

ATTORNEYS FOR RECEIVER

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

It is believed that each of the Claimants oppose disallowance/reduction of their claim and therefore this matter is presented to the Court for determination.

Michael J. Quilling / D. Dee Raibourn, III

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 15th day of April, 2004 a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served via first class mail, postage pre-paid, on the persons set forth below and the attached service list:

Kevin Edmondson Securities & Exchange Commission 801 Cherry Street, 19th Floor Fort Worth, Texas 76102

These Objections will also be posted on the Receiver's website www.secreceiver.com immediately after filing.

Michael J. Quilling D. Dee Raibourn, III

Exhibit "A"Bythinia/Bachman Capital Partners/Abesx Del Norte claim of \$29,835.00

First Name		Cla	aim Amount	% of claim	Proposed Allov	ved Claim
Ellis	Barnes	\$	2,350.00	0.36%	\$	107.83
William	Cox	\$	41,333.47	6.36%	\$	1,896.56
Regina	Drennen	\$	11,240.00	1.73%	\$	515.74
Bradley	Farmer	\$	7,637.00	1.17%	\$	350.42
Wanda	Gurski	\$	36,000.00	5.54%	\$	1,651.84
Stephen	Guy	\$	35,500.00	5.46%	\$	1,628.89
Joe	Hensley	\$	15,000.00	2.31%	\$	688.26
Evelyn	Jarrard	\$	958.15	0.15%	\$	43.96
Bill	Jennings	\$	210,290.00	32.34%	\$	9,649.01
William	Jones	\$	13,500.00	2.08%	\$	619.44
Robert	Koontz	\$	8,000.00	1.23%	\$	367.07
Billy	Lawson	\$	6,219.88	0.96%	\$	285.39
Dinah	Lawson	\$	5,000.00	0.77%	\$	229.42
Cecil	Light	\$	20,000.00	3.08%	\$	917.69
Donnie	Marshall	\$	50,000.00	7.69%	\$	2,294.22
Richard	McFarland	\$	26,762.06	4.12%	\$	1,227.96
Robert	Page	\$	32,000.00	4.92%	\$	1,468.30
Franklin	Spivey	\$	60,431.76	9.29%	\$	2,772.87
Judy	Tate	\$	13,000.00	2.00%	\$	596.50
Ira	Taylor	\$	35,000.00	5.38%	\$	1,605.95
Iris	Ward	\$	20,000.00	3.08%	\$	917.69
Total		\$	650,222.32	100.00%	\$	29,835.00

Exhibit "B"

1.	Marvin Bates	\$4,500.00
2.	Marvin Bates	\$15,000.00
3.	Chad D. Bayer	\$5,000.00
4.	Charles Butler	\$105,000.00
5.	Debbie Butler	\$23,000.00
6.	Susan M. Duncan-Toadvine	\$5,000.00
7.	Glenna Lou Hockensmith	\$5,000.00
8.	Daniel D. Huba	\$7,535.00
9.	Dennis Wilkening	\$40,000.00
10.	Shirley Windbigler	\$5,000.00
11.	Reginald R. Wulff	\$5,000.00
12.	Terry Martin	\$35,000.00
13.	Ronald L Olesen and Lynda A. Olesen	\$24,000.00
14.	Jay B. Reid and Linda J. Reid	\$5,000.00
15.	Ronald Schauss	\$10,000.00
	Total	\$294,035.00

HAMMERSMITH TRUST OBJECTION CLAIMS SERVICE LIST

Dennie Anderson 1014 SO A.B. Hwy Willard, MO 65781

Claudio Antonini Timber Hill, 2nd Floor 1 Pickwick Plaza Greenwich, CT 06830

Jess Askew III Williams & Anderson, LLP 22nd Floor 111 Center Street Little Rock, AR 72201

Richard Assaly 6603 Grandview Drive NW Edmonton, Alberta T6H 4K2 Canada

Henry Back Jungarvagen 32C F166850 Jeppo Finland

Alan Baker c/o Kevin Checkett, Trustee 517 S. Main Street P.O. Box 409 Carthage, MO 64836

Ellis Barnes 429 Shadowtown Road Blountville, TN 37617

Marvin Bates 624 Madison Avenue Evansville, IN 47713

Chad D. Bayer 7925 Spry Road Evansville, IN 47715

Elaine Boiko 2160 Harrison Street Santa Clara, CA 95050 David Bushill Lismore, Mellersh Hill Road Wonersh, Guildford GU5 0QL Surrey, United Kingdom

Charles L. Butler 8955 Arizona Drive Newburgh, IN 47630

Debbie H. Butler 8955 Arizona Drive Newburgh, IN 47630

Joseph Cardozo c/o Steven Garber & Associates 1900 Ave of the Stars, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067

William Cox 128 Harwood Road Gray, TN 37615

Jack Deemert RR5-1158 Brantford Hwy Cambridge, Ontario N1R 5S6 Canada

Paul Deemert 1 Redwood Avenue Canbridge, Ontario N3C 2S3 Canada

Regina Drennen 413 Wilma Street Kingsport, TN 37665

Susan M. Duncan-Toadvine 760 Commodore Court Porter, IN 46304

Bradley Farmer III 1205 Midland Drive Kingsport, TN 37664

David J. Gibson Custodian Management Service International Ltd. Suite 201, Marina Towers #4 Princess Margaret Drive Belize City, Belize Robert D. Gilmore 11605 Windcrest Lane San Diego, CA 92128

John Joseph Graden 2800 Leprechaun Lane Palm Harbor, FL 34683

Wanda Gurski 4281 Stans Berry Road Morristown, TN 37813

Stephen C. Guy 295 Bell Street Blountville, TN 37617

Janalee Hawkins 2354 Pacer Drive Norco, CA 92860

Joe Hensley 4773 Highway 126 Blountville, TN 37617

Glenna Lou Hockensmith 6420 Bagdad Road Bagdad, KY 40003

Daniel D. Huba 132 S. Springwood Blvd. Crawfordville, FL 32327

Evelyn Jarrard 636 Chadwell Road Kingsport, TN 37660

Bill Jennings 2337 Inglewood Drive Kingsport, TN 37644

William Jones 2020 McKinney Street Kinsport, TN 37660

Christopher Kazana 8139 Sunset Avenue, Suite 141 Fair Oaks, CA 95628

Robert Koontz 448 Glory Road Blountville, TN 37617 Wah Ching Kwok Suite 1501-6 Leighton CTN 77 Leighton Road Hong Kong

Baxter D. Laporte 4870 Cote Des Neiges App 1510 Montreal, Canada H3V 1H3

Billy J. Lawson 3807 Sullivan Gardens Drive Kingsport, TN 37660

Dinah J. Lawson 3807 Sullivan Gardens Drive Kingsport, TN 37660

Cecil Light 3517 Reservoir Road Kingsport, TN 37660

Pat Logue 4428 Marwood Way NE Calgary, Alberta 2T2 2S7 Canada

Donnie Marshall 341 Jefferson Drive Church Hill, TN 37642

Terry Martin 25610 Open Ridge Lane Lebanon, MO 65536

Jeffrey Matz Inmate No. 04912-017 La Tuna FCI P.O. Box 1000 8500 Doniphan Anthony, TX 79821

Richard McFarland 1975 Longs Bend Pike Surgoinsville, TN 37873 Stephen Middleton-Cassini The Law Society Victoria Court 8 Dormer Place Leamington Spa Warwickshire, England CV32 5AE

Dilaver Holdings Harold Miller 5313 Amethyst Avenue Alta Loma, CA 91737

John Morris Jeffrey M. Saxon 7711 Moffett Road Mobile, AL 36618

Kenneth Morris 4A Baas Hill Cose Broxbourne, Herts EN10 7EU England

Ronald & Lynda Oleson 3531 E. Elmoro Mesa, AZ 85204

Robert Page, Jr. 118 Charleston Street Weber City, VA 24290

Bill E. Porter Route 1, Box 2400 Doniphan, MO 63935

Jay & Linda Reid 7320 Quail Road Eugene, MO 65032

Ronald L. Schauss 6824 Copper Field Drive Evansville, IN 47711

Adrian Schepps "Fairway" Parkview Road Pinner, Middlesex HA5 3YF United Kingdom

Barry Siskind 8 Red Fawn Court Henderson, NV 89014 Franklin Spivey 113 Hillview Road Kingsport, TN 37664

Virgil D. Strickland 28 Spivey Drive Lousiville, AL 36048

Ramsinh M. Tantod 2043 Dawn View Glen Escondido, CA 92026

Judy Tate 4281 Stansberry Road Morristown, TN 37813

Ira Taylor P.O. Box 333 Churchill, TN 37642

Menno Wagler 5875 W. 700 S. Hudson, IN 46747

Iris Ward 265 Chicktown Road Churchill, TN 37642

Dennis Wilkening 68883 190th Street Nevada, IA 50201

Shirley Windbigler 4044 Woodstone Drive Newburgh, IN 47630

Reginald R. Wulff 3310 N. Red Bank Evans, IL 47720

WWST Limited c/o Ronald Yates P.O. Box 4075 Mission Viejo, CA 92690

Pavel Zhernahov 5885 Ferry Street b-25 Niagara Falls, Ontario L2G 1S8 Canada