IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS **DALLAS DIVISION**

MICHAEL J. QUILLING, RECEIVER	§	
FOR SARDAUKAR HOLDINGS, IBC	§	
and BRADLEY C. STARK,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
\mathbf{V}_{ullet}	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3-06CV0293-P
	§	
3D MARKETING LLC,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Defendant 3-D Marketing, LLC files its Objections to Findings and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge:

- As set forth in its Answer, the proper name of the Defendant is 3-D 1. Marketing, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company. It may just be inadvertent on behalf of plaintiff that deletes the hyphen. This defendant wants to ensure that it is properly identified in any judgment (favorable or unfavorable) in this matter.
- Respectfully, while the Magistrate Judge refers to a "Ponzi" scheme (and 2. cites an opinion), it is the simple contention of defendant, asserted in its Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, that such an allegation requires testimony in accordance with Federal Rule of Evidence 702 and 703, and there has been no offer of such evidence and, if same has been offered, it should have been disclosed in the form of an expert designation and opinion. Defendant sought this information through its discovery pleadings and were advised that there were no experts on behalf of plaintiff.

- 3. It is not controverted that plaintiff has designated no expert nor offered any opinion regarding a *Ponzi* scheme.
- Through its Answer, Defendant made clear that it was not stipulating to 4. plaintiff's allegation in that regard as an agreed fact. It is a contested fact, both in the answer and in the Rule 56 pleadings.
- 5. With regard to Paragraph C at page 6 of the Court's opinion, wherein the opinion makes reference to the loan received by 3-D Marketing, LLC from LB Charitable Trust, that fact has been proved as a matter of law by more than one witness (including a disinterested witness) and it has not been controverted by Plaintiff. In fact, Plaintiff's own exhibit provide a ledger with accounting entries consistent with a \$75,000 loan from LB Charitable Trust to 3-D Marketing, LLC. Defendant requests that the Court revise its Recommendation to note this fact as established by the respective Rule 56 evidence.
- 6. Defendant further urges that the Court has not considered the matters set forth in Defendant's Rule 56 Motion and that there is no legal basis for barring 3-D Marketing, LLC from receiving back a portion of the money that it invested with Sardaukar Holdings/Bradley Stark, prior to the time when any investments were challenged by the SEC or the Receiver.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen C. Schoettmer

State Bar No. 17800400

Stephen.Schoettmer @tklaw.com THOMPSON & KNIGHT LLP 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 3300

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 969-1700 Telecopier: (214) 969-1751

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on 14th day of February, 2007, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Defendant's Objections to Findings and Recommendation of the Untied States Magistrate Judge was served on counsel for Plaintiff by e-filing.

Stephen C. Schoettmer