


R

ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION



MICHAEL J. QUILLING, RECEIVER §  
FOR MEGAFUND CORPORATION §  
AND LANCORP FINANCIAL GROUP, §  
LLC, §

Plaintiff, §

vs. §

KENNETH WAYNE HUMPHRIES, §

Defendant. §

Civil Action No. 3:06-CV-0299-R

**REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S COUNTERCLAIM**

TO THE HONORABLE JEFF KAPLAN, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE:

COMES NOW, Michael J. Quilling in his capacity as Receiver for Megafund Corporation and Lancorp Financial Group, LLC, ("Receiver") and files this his Answer to Defendant's Counterclaim and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

1 The Receiver admits that Megafund Corporation ("Megafund") retained Defendant to perform certain specific services.

2. The Receiver admits that Defendant performed some services for Megafund, but says that he is without full knowledge as to the nature of the services that Defendant was requested to perform and/or the extent to which Defendant completed same.

3. The Receiver is without knowledge of, and therefore denies, all allegations in Paragraph 3 of Defendant's Counterclaim.

4. The Receiver agrees that, in performing legal services for Megafund, Defendant committed acts constituting negligence, fraud, and violations of Federal and State law. The Receiver

is without knowledge of, and therefore denies, all other allegations in Paragraph 4 of Defendant's Counterclaim.

5. The Receiver denies all allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Defendant's Counterclaim.

6. The Receiver denies all allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Defendant's Counterclaim.

**FIRST DEFENSE**

7. All or part of the relief requested by Defendant is barred by the doctrine of contributory negligence.

**SECOND DEFENSE**

8. All or part of the relief requested by Defendant is barred by the doctrine of assumption of risk.

**THIRD DEFENSE**

9. All or part of the relief requested by Defendant is barred by the doctrine of estoppel.

**FOURTH DEFENSE**

10. All or part of the relief requested by Defendant is barred by failure of consideration.

**FIFTH DEFENSE**

11. All or part of the relief requested by Defendant is barred by Defendant's own fraudulent conduct.

**SIXTH DEFENSE**

12.. All or part of the relief requested by Defendant is barred due to Defendant's illegal conduct.

**SEVENTH DEFENSE**

13. All or part of the relief requested by Defendant is barred by the doctrine of laches.

**EIGHTH DEFENSE**

14. All or part of the relief requested by Defendant is barred by the doctrine of waiver.

**NINTH DEFENSE**

15. All or part of the relief requested by Defendant is barred by applicable statutes of limitation.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

16. The Receiver demands a trial by jury on all claims and causes of action asserted in Defendant's Counterclaim.


**CONCLUSION**

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Receiver requests that the Court enter judgment that Defendant take nothing on his Counterclaim and grant the Receiver such other and further relief, at law or in equity, to which he may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

QUILLING SELANDER CUMMISKEY & LOWNDS, P.C.  
2001 Bryan Street, Suite 1800  
Dallas, Texas 75201-4240  
(214) 871-2100 (Telephone)  
(214) 871-2111 (Facsimile)

By:


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Quilling  
Texas Bar No. 16432300  
[mquilling@qsclpc.com](mailto:mquilling@qsclpc.com)  
James H. Moody, III  
Texas Bar No. 14307400  
[hmoody@qsclpc.com](mailto:hmoody@qsclpc.com)  
Brent J. Rodine  
Texas Bar No. 24048770  
[brodine@qsclpc.com](mailto:brodine@qsclpc.com)

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that on the 12 day of April, 2006 a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via first class mail, with full and proper postage pre-paid thereon, to:

Kenneth W. Humphries  
P.O. Box 74  
110 East Ninth Street  
Hopkinsville, Kentucky 42241-0074

  
\_\_\_\_\_