## Exhibit E

5/10/2006

Quilling, Solander, Cummiskey & Lownds 2001 Bryan Street, Suite 1800 Dallas, Texas 75201

Attn: BRENT RODINE

File No. 2006050324

A search was made in the County Records on the following property:

Called In As: SOUTHERN TRUST CO. Property Address: 1318 MINCHEN DRIVE

City: DEER PARK County: HARRIS State: TEXAS

Job No. 911.0110

Record Owner: SOUTHERN TRUST COMPANY

Logal (Per Dood):

LOT FIVE (5), IN BLOCK ONE (1), OF THE COLEMAN ADDITION, A SUBDIVISION IN HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS, ACCORDING TO THE MAP OR PLAT THEREOF, RECORDED IN VOLUME 156, PAGE 137, OF THE MAP RECORDS OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS, ALSO KNOWN AS 1318 MINCHEN DRIVE, DEER PARK, TEXAS, IN THE 21P CODE OF 77536.

DEED WITHOUT WARRANTY

Grantor: WILLIAM EARL TIPTON, SR., TRUSTEE OF THE TIPTON LIVING TRUST DATED OCTOBER 16, 1997, AND ANY AMENDMENTS THERETO, RECORDED IN THE REAL PROPERTY RECORDS OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

Grantee: SOUTHERN TRUST COMPANY

Executed on: 4/26/05 Filed on: 8/16/05

Recorded in Volume: Page: Instrument Number: Y694463

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

FROM : Lionel LR Welch

FOX NO. :2277381

Aug. 17 2006 12:20PM P2

August 15, 2006

Judge Caplan United States District Court 1100 Commerce, Room 1611 Dallas, Texas 75242-1003 **FISA** 

Reference: cause NO. 3-06-CV-0959-L

#### Dear Judge Caplen:

Please accept this letter in the spirit in which it is written. I am concerned that the receiver of the Securities and Exchange Commission, Michael Quilling, is working out of line with the actual position and status with which he has been appointed. There is no action against my trust which can be proved to be tied to the companies in any corrupt relationship over which Mr. Quilling has been placed as receiver. I understand that Gary McDuff has sent your court a copy of a letter which I sent to Mr. Quilling. I will also send Mr. Quilling and Mr. McDuff a copy of this letter.

I am a Belize citizen and my trust is a Belize trust. I don't understand how Mr. Quilling can believe that he has the power to determine that he has jurisdiction over the actions or inactions of Southern Trust Company of Belize or how he can determine that Southern Trust Company has violated some law of the United States by buying a piece of property in Deer Park, Texas. Will the United States Government determine that Enron's business with hundreds if not thousands of companies will doom all those companies for the mere act of doing business with Enron? How about all the employees of Enron? Will they be doomed by their employment with Enron because of actions taken by the board of directors of Enron? How then can Mr. Quilling decide against all logic that Southern Trust Company of Belize must be punished by forfeither for its international financial business? Is there something else involved which Mr. Quilling has not mentioned? Is Mr. Quilling's moral compass broken?

I am currently involved in logal action, but I will not rule out action against Mr. Quilling and/or the SEC for exceeding their authority and jurisdiction in what appears to be a blatant attack on my interests and out of protocol with proper international relations. I believe that all of as need to come to an understanding of facts. Any help you can provide will certainly be appreciated. I am in the dark on this issue.

# Exhibit G

Gary McDuff c/o 1314 Minchen Drive Deer Park, Texas [77536]

August 17, 2006

Judge Caplan United States District Court 1100 Commerce, Room 1611 Dallas, Texas 75242-1003

Reference: NO. 3-06-CV-0959-L

Dear Judge Caplan,

Enclosed is a letter to Michael Quilling, acting receiver and agent for the Securities and Exchange Commission. I am communicating with you as a courtesy, not as ex parte for a decision. I am presently required to obey a court which has issued a prior order for my presence during a local case. There is no way I can appear elsewhere.

There is also no ownership ties between me and the property located in Deer Park, Texas which Mr. Quilling is trying to seize from me. An alleged seizure from me will be an incomplete action without due process and without the respect due to the proper party that owns the property. I, nor my wife, have any ownership or beneficiary interest in Southern Trust Company, Secured Clearing Corporation or First Global Foundation.

I am certainly at odds with Mr. Quillings notion of fair play. I can't see anything but a "You do it my way" type of discourse with the wrong parties, and Mr. Quillings non-care attitude about the attack on the wrong parties for the wrong reason(s). Obviously, Mr. Quillings has a lack of jurisdiction over the property in question as no controversy exists. It's all in the nature of a fictional cause. There is no illicit tie between the companies in receivership and Mr. Cadle's trust. I believe I have fulfilled any obligation I may have had with this letter in reporting a wrongful action by Mr. Quilling. Your understanding will be appreciated. A copy of this letter is being sent to Mr. Quilling.

Sincerely

Gary McDuff

# Exhibit H

none

001 713 910 5153

## Secured Clearing Corporation

76 Denn Stret Suize City, Bulin CA, Phone: +501 2 078-436 Fex: -581 207-7381 US Phone: 281 235-5000 Fax: 713 910 5163 E-mail: survice@serverd.dounes.org

17 March 2005

**Gary Lancasios** Lancorp Financial Group, LLC

Sent by fax transmission

Dear Gary.

This totler is to provide you with notice that Secured Cleaning Corporation has assigned to MexBank S.A. de C.V. the representing interests of Secured Clearing in retation to its financial interest in the Lancorp Financial Fund, It is also meant to some as a statement of facils which outline the understandings that have been in place between Lansorp and Secured since the two entities, and their representatives, established a business relationship.

Since Secured Cleaning Corporation nominated you to be the Trustee/owner, and it provided the capital required to form the Lancop Financial Fund Business Trust, paid the logal fees, vadous state king fees, advanced trustee fees to you, and covered other ancillary costs, Secured Clearing has financial equity in the Lancorp Financial Fund. Secured Clearing provided you with the equivalent of venture capital to form the Lancorp Fund. Secured Cleaning Corporation offered to compensate you at the rate of 12% per year for agreeing to be the Fund's trustee/owner. This was to be paid via a 2% fee from the Fund itself and a 7.5% to 10% fee paid directly for industry) by Secured Cleaning. For this compensation, you agreed to beer the risk of running and operating the Fund according to as Memorandum.

Secured Clearing was responsible for directing investors to the Fund as well as locating investments that were within the guidelines of the Fund's Memorandum into which the Fund's monies would be invested to generate emerings. Secured Clearing, using its investor base and managers or fund brokers, reised all the funds placed into the Lancorp Fund. The initial placement of the funds only paid comings for one calendar quarter.

Secured Clearing was introduced to an investment opportunity, offered by the Megalund Corporation, that was within the guidelines of the Fund's Memorandum. This investment would pay substantially more in earnings than the former articipated investments offered. Secured Cataring informed you of this opportunity and it was agreed that the earnings would be divided 50/50 after all costs/fees, etc. That verbal agreement established the terms of a joint venture. Secured Cleaning directed the Meghand Corporation to provide you with investment documentation for review and acceptance. On January 31, 2005 you enlared into that investment. Under the terms of the 50/50 joint venture that investment would pay you more in earnings per year than you over anticipated under the instell agreement with Becured Clearing. As a goodwill gesture, Secured Clearing officeed to reduce its 50% position of the net earnings to 40%, and pay you 60% for your proven dedication. Therefore, the financial equity of Secured Clearing Corporation in the Lancorp Financial Fund was officially reduced to 40% of ngt comings.

Nov 01 06 10:34a

Mar 18 05 10:05a

none

This letter hereby provides you with format notice that the linuncial interests of Secured Cleanings in the Lancotp Fund monies placed in the Megafund has been assigned to Mexibank, and means that Mexibank, and not Secured Clearing will sign the formal Joint Venture with Lancorp concerning the investment monies placed with the Megalind. Further, Mexical shall be entitled to recite, claim and deal in all agreements, verbal and written. prior and Current, between Lancorp and Secured Cleaning as though it were Secured Cleaning. Effective price and current reserved control and control communication regarding the transaction with Megafund to Mexicant and interest in Lancorp's current investment or future investments with the sol Secured Clearing Corporation. The interest in Lancorp's current investment or future investments with the Megafund has now been vested to MexBork by Secured Clearing.

For and on behalf of, Secured Claying Comoration

## Exhibit I

#### JOINT VENTURE

This Joint Venture (JV) is hereby entered into by and between the Lancorp Financial Group L.C. hereinsfier (LG) and MexBank S.A. de C.V., hereinsfier (MB). The effective date of this agreement is February 2, 2005.

This agreement is entered into to memorialize a prior understanding of the division of earnings derived from investments in the Megafund Corporation.

WHEREAS. Mills has an investor base, and a manager of that investor base, with varying amounts of USD to invest into suitable or qualified entities, which are properly structured to accept ordinary and retirement funds within the United States in accordance with all applicable regulations. MB is a bank registered in Mexico and therefore must enter into an agreement with a US entity that is registered in the United States in order to properly place the funds of its investor base, and

WHEREAS, LG has a wholly owned subsidiary, the Lancorp Financial Fund, which is a U.S. Fund formed in compliance with all relevant regulations. The Lancorp Financial Fund can accept munics from US citizens or foreign nations, that are designated as ordinary or retinament, and

WHEREAS, MB has established an investment opportunity with the Megaliand Corporation that operates within the United States as a "Fund of Funds" and also accepts direct investments from individual qualified investors. So.

NOW THEREFORE It is agreed that, in order for MB to be able to put the monies of its investor base in a US based entity that meets regulatory requirements, the subsidiary of LG, known as the Lancorp Financial Fund, shall be used as follows:

- 1.01 MB shall direct all of its investors, and the managers of those investors, to place their monies into the Lancorp Financial Fund.
- 1.02 LG shall enter into an agreement to compensate the Lancorp Financial Fund for the use of the monies defined in 1.01 above. LG shall receive full investment authority over such monies parament to the investment guidelines of the Lancorp Financial Fund.
- 1.05 For the mutual benefit of MB and LG. MB shall direct LG to place the monies defined in 1.02 above into an investment with the Megaliand Corporation. The initial amount invested shall be

\$5.000,000.00 USD. Note: A Joint Venture Asset Management Agreement was signed by and between the Lancorp Financial Circup LLC on January 31, 2005, and by the Megafund Corporation on February 2, 2005, and the \$5,000,000.00 USD arrived at the bank of the Megafund Corporation on February 8, 2005.

- 1.04 The mutual financial benefit of MB and LG shall be as follows: All monthly gross profit carnings, payable by the Megafund Corporation pursuant to 1.03 above (and any future investments in the Megafund Corporation by LG or its affiliates), shall be divided so that 61.233% goes to LG and 35.166% goes to MB. From LG's 64.233% portion, all obligations due to the Lancorp Financial Fund shall be paid. From MB's 35.166% portion, it shall pay the managers of its investor base.
- 1.05 LG shall instruct the Megafund Corporation to pay the respective 64,833% and 35,166% earnings portions directly to LG and MB each month throughout the investment term.

This JV agreement shall remain in effect for as long as LG or any of its affiliates invest munics with the Megafund Corporation. The legal jurisdiction of this agreement shall be Mexico City, Mexico. Any disputes between the parties hereto shall be resolved in a competent court in Mexico. Any amendments hereto must be done in writing and signed by both parties.

This prior agreement is memorialized in writing and signed by the parties hereto on this, the 17th day of March, 2005.

Cary L. Larcagor)
Lancaster Financial Group LLC

1382 Leigh CL

West Linn, Oregon 97068

Mes Book S.

Heraldo 60

Colonia Claverin Delegacion Azeapotzaloc, C.P. 02080

Mexico, D.F. Mexico

+1 281 235-5000 Direct Line

Gary McDuff



Te: Mia Flannery	From: Gary McDull
Pax:	Pages: 4 including cover page
Phones	Date: 5/20/2005
Re: May payments	GC:

#### Dear Mia.

The May earnings payments for MexBank SA. First Global Foundation, and Value Asset Management are to be wired to their respective V-Cash accounts at Wells Fargo Bank. The attached pages provide you with the wiring details. The Lancorp payment is to be paid as directed by Lancorp.

There are 5 payments in all:

- Lancorp Financial: 64.833% of the May earnings from the Lancorp/MexBank

  // investment.
- MexBank SA: 35.166% of the May earnings from the Lancorp/MexBank JV investment.
- MexBank SA: 100% of the May earnings from the April 5<sup>th</sup> MexBank \$10K JV investment.
- 4. First Global Foundation: 100% of the May earnings from the April 5th First Global Foundation \$10K JV investment.
- Value Asset Management AG: 100% of the May earnings from the April 5<sup>th</sup> Value Asset Management \$10K JV investment.

#### WIRING DETAILS FOR

### FIRST GLOBAL FOUNDATION

Amount:

\$1,000.00 <u>USD</u>

Bank Name:

Wells Fargo Bank N.A. **420 Montgomery Street** 

San Francisco, California 94104

SWIFT code: ABA number: WF BI US 6S 121 000 248

For credit to:

Cash Cards International, LLC

8086803668 Account number:

For final credit to:

**First Global Foundation** 

V-Cash account no.:

-175165

May 20 05 08:55a

WIRING DETAILS FOR

MexBank S.A. de C.V.

Amount:

\$1,000.00 <u>USD</u>

Bank Name:

Wells Fargo Bank N.A. 420 Montgomery Street

Sen Prancisco, California 94104

SWIFT code:

WF BI US 6S

ABA number:

121 000 248

For credit to:

Cash Cards International, LLC

Account number:

8086803668

For final credit to:

MexBank S.A. de C.V.

V-Cash account no.:

336463

## WIRING DETAILS FOR

Value Asset Management, AG

Amount:

\$1,000.00 <u>USD</u>

Bank Name:

Wells Fargo Bank N.A. 420 Montgomery Street

San Francisco, California 94104

SWIFT code:

WF BI US 68

ABA number:

121 000 248

For credit to:

Cash Cards International, LLC

8086803668

Account number: For final credit to:

Value Asset Management, A.G.

V-Cash account no.:

334496

## Exhibit K

Ľ.

2



22 March 2005

Gary Laneaster Landcorp Financial Group LLC 1382 Leigh Court West Linn, Oregon 97068

Dear Sir.

Thank you for faxing back to me the signed JV. Please mail me an original at your earliest convenience.

As you know Secured Clearing Corporation is our customer, and they have instructed as to represent their interests in the investments into the Megafund Corporation.

MexBank entered into that IV with you as the vested fiduciary for Secured Clearing Corporation. To enable us to provide accurate information to the managers of our inverter base, please provide us with the details of amounts placed, dates those amounts went effective, investor and referror's names.

Secured Clearing informed us that the Megafund payment for March committing the Lancorp Group and the MexBank portion was sain to you by check in the post. From the MexBank portion you withheld and paid the agreed logal fees of \$ 10,017.71 US Dollars. The balance was sent to us. by you, via a wire transfer to our Union Bank of Uniformia account. As of today the wire has not arrived, or has not been located by our bank. Please provide us with the Fed wire number so our bank can usee it.

Attached is the signed Wit tax exemption form. The original has been mailed to you.

Very truly yours.

famiging Director

Francisco & CR CERES MÉMBLE DA

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

MICHAEL QUILLING,

Petitioner

Civil Action No. 3:06-CV-0959-L

GARY McDUFF, et al.,

٧.

Respondent

### RESPONDENT'S CHALLENGE OF JURISDICTION (2nd notice)

AGAIN COMES NOW the Respondent in the above styled cause, in Special Visitation, NOT General Appearance, to readdress the challenge of jurisdiction of this Court, the Securities and Exchange Commission and Michael Quilling to impede and interfere into the affairs of Southern Trust Company, a Belize entity, and Gary McDuff, a private Texan, an American National, a non-fiction in the attempted forfeiture and seizure of money and a property located at 1318 Minchen Dr. in Deer Park, Texas from Southern Trust Company and its only beneficiary, Wilhelm Cadle. The court unilaterally and erroneously attempted to re-title the previous presentment.

### CASE DOCKET FACTS

## Court's error in arbitrary determination of "pro se" appearance

At no time has Respondent appeared before this court as pro se. Every appearance has been a Special Visitation, Sui Juris, or in propria persona and never a General Appearance. Therefore the court has erred, and is hereby formally informed of this error and Respondent requests the court correct the error for the record.

## Court's error in stating Shannon M. McDuff is in "Default"

It is a well settled maxim of law that a flesh and blood, natural man, on the land, is the legal covering for his Wife. As the Husband of Shannon M. McDuff, Gary Lynn McDuff has the authority and ordained obligation to protect and to represent his wife in all matters. The Holy Scriptures clearly define them as one flesh. Therefore the "RESPONDENT'S CHALLENGE OF JURISDICTION" filed on August 18, 2006 came from the "One Flesh" party and must be taken as a husband and wife, one flesh Response. Shannon M. McDuff is not now nor ever has been in Default in this matter.

### RESPONDENT'S CHALLENGE OF JURISDICTION-

is not a "Motion to Dismiss"

"RESPONDENT'S CHALLENGE OF JURISDICTION" filed on August 18, 2006 was NOT presented nor intended as a "motion to dismiss", but instead as a notice to the court to define and document the court's jurisdiction. It was then and still is Respondent's challenge to jurisdiction. The court's attempt to convert it into a motion to dismiss is in error. Unless and until the court can establish documented subject matter jurisdiction it cannot proceed further in this matter.

## SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION NOT POSSIBLE TO ESTABLISH

Michael Quilling has falsely represented without any substantiation or supporting documentation to this court that Gary McDuff is the recipient of some alleged Lancorp and Megafund monies. He states: "Bank records clearly show that Defendant (1) kept some of the money in his own accounts, (2) forwarded some to his wife, Shannon McDuff, and to a business associate named Robert Reese, and (3) used \$152,401.55 to purchase a home at 1318 Minchen Drive in Deer Park Texas."

However, Quilling has not, and can not, produce into evidence any bank records which show any of the alleged subject matter funds went into any account that is owned or controlled by Gary McDuff. Nor can Quilling provide this court with

any evidence which shows Southern Trust Company as being an "alter ego" for Gary McDuff. That is reckless speculation and is clearly put right when placed in the light of indisputable truth by the Apostille of the NOTARY CERTIFICATION dated 15 August 2006, issued by the Government of Belize which clearly, and in compliance with international law, legally settles any dispute as to who is the sole beneficiary of Southern Trust Company and the sole shareholder of Secured Clearing Corporation. This leaves Michael Quilling in the contradictory position of wasting this court's time and money trying to convince the court that monies of Southern Trust Company were not from a different source. Gary McDuff can not be called upon or be held to account for property that is not his. Clearly subject matter jurisdiction cannot be established against Gary McDuff or his wife in this matter.

#### REMEDY

This court is under oath and Respondent expects this Court to uphold the laws of the United States where they apply and disregard any extension of such authority where not appropriate. Michael Quilling has asked this court to show it has jurisdiction. Gary McDuff and wife notices this court that Michael Quilling has not presented this court with any nexus in controversy or sufficient subject

matter for this court to proceed further in this matter. The court is required to so inform him. Michael Quilling is squandering Megafund and Lancorp estate funds by engaging in straw grasping litigation which is reaching far beyond any authority the court might grant to him. This appears to be a clear attempt at undue enrichment and criminal conversion of funds. Such actions are identified by the law and the courts as Felony Criminal Conversion.

Should Mr. Quilling respond by commercial affidavit, point by point, under penalty of perjury as a rebuttal to my commercial affidavit attached to this document, then Mr. Quilling must take cognizance of the maxim of law which states that in commerce, truth is sovereign. The United States is a for-profit corporation. Mr. Quilling allegedly represents that corporation in commerce.

28 September 2006

Respectfully submitted,

GARY MCDUFF by

Gary MeDuff, Secured Party, Agent

Without projudice

c/o 1314 Minchen Drive

Deer Park, Texas [77536]

Cc: Michael J. Quilling, Plaintiff
Wilhelm Cadle, Intervening Third Party

## Exhibit M

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

§

MICHAEL QUILLING,

Petitioner

Civil Action No. 3:06-CV-0959-L

v.

GARY McDUFF, et al.,

Respondent

### Commercial Affidavit of Truth

Gary McDuff, hereinafter, Respondent's agent and affiant, is of proper age, competent to testify, has first hand knowledge of the facts and is telling the truth which is correct and not misleading as follows:

- Whereas Respondent's agent has not received from Petitioner, Michael Quilling, the SEC Receiver, a rebuttal regarding Respondent's agent's original challenge or jurisdiction. Petitioner has been silent.
- Whereas Petitioner has not provided to Respondent evidence of Petitioner's first hand knowledge of the alleged facts in the alleged issue regarding the Civil Action No. 3:60-CV-0959-L.
- Whereas Respondent's agent has only received a statement from Petitioner that
  provides that Petitioner accumulated only hearsay and presumption regarding the
  alleged facts in Civil Action No. 3:60-CV-0959-L.
- 4. Whereas Respondent has received from Petitioner an indication that Petitioner has misrepresented the facts regarding the allegation that Gary McDuff is the recipient of some of Lancorp and Megafiund monies.
- 5. Whereas Respondent's agent has received from Petitioner the concept that Petitioner has presumed that money exists in defiance of House Joint Resolution 192.

- Whereas Respondent's agent has received from Petitioner the concept that Petitioner has presumed without evidence that GARY MCDUFF, Respondent, has ownership of 6. the house located at 1318 Minchen Drive, Deer Park, Texas.
- Whereas Respondent's agent has received from Petitioner no acknowledgement that Wilhelm Roy Cadle, sole beneficiary of the Southern Trust Company, has rights to 7. the property located at 1318 Minchen Drive, Deer Park, Texas, as sole beneficiary of the Southern Trust Company which bought the stated above property.
- Whereas Respondent's agent has received from Petitioner information that Petitioner has presumed that the Receiver for the Securities and Exchange Commission has the 8. authority to presume an issue and act upon that presumption as if it were fact.
- Whereas Respondent's agent has received no evidence (verification) of either Respondent's or Respondent's agent's participation in any activity within the 9. UNITED STATES or the STATE OF TEXAS which would be unlawful within either the UNITED STATES or the STATE OF TEXAS.
- Whereas Respondent's agent has received from Petitioner an indication that Petitioner presumed that Shannon McDuff has information which will verify Petitioner's and 10\_ that Petitioner has authority to sue Shannon McDuff without Mrs. McDuff's husband's permission
- Whereas Respondent's agent is not in receipt of documents which verify alleged 11. evidence of Petitioner's position.
- Whereas Respondent's agent is not in possession of documents which verify that Petitioner utilized the proper international protocol in the process of engaging all the 12. international parties which Petitioner has involved in the Civil Action No. 3:60-CV-0959-L
- Whereas Respondent's agent has not received a document which provides verification that Respondent's agent is a U.S. citizen and not an American National. 13.
- Whereas Respondent's agent has not received a document which provides verification that Respondent's agent is a citizen of the State of Texas. [The "State of Texas" is an 14. incorporated shadow federal "State", a political subdivision of the UNITED STATES corporation created by the Buck Act of 1940. The 10th Amendment and the Separation of Powers Doctrine prohibits the state Republics from acquiescing to federal authority, thereby functioning as federal States, without constitutional amendments which specifically delegate authority to the UNITED STATES which is not already articulated in the "Constitution for the united states of America". See New York v. United States, et al, 1992].

- Whereas Respondent has not received evidence that either Respondent or Respondent's agent is a member of the corporate body politic of any State or the federal government.
- Whereas Respondent's agent has not received any document which verifies that Respondent's agent is a "resident" of the UNITED STATES corporation or the STATE OF TEXAS corporation. [res-ident = res means thing and ident means identity or identity of the thing.]
- 17. Whereas Respondent's agent has received no document which verifies that Respondent's agent's dwelling is in the UNITED STATES or the STATE OF TEXAS.
- 18. Whereas Respondent's agent has received no document which verifies that Respondent's agent is a "resident alien" lawfully admitted to either the UNITED STATES or the STATE OF TEXAS.
- Whereas Respondent's agent is not electing to be treated as a "resident alien" in the UNITED STATES, a STATE, or a Territory or insular possession of the UNITED STATES.
- 20. Whereas Respondent's agent has received no document that verifies that Respondent's agent is a partnership, corporation, estate, fiduciary or trust within the UNITED STATES or the STATE OF TEXAS.
- Whereas Respondent's agent does not wish to convey property to the 14<sup>th</sup>
   Amendment public/private trust.
- 22. Whereas Respondent's agent has not received any document which verifies that Respondent's agent is a "person" or "individual". [Person is defined as a subject of "civil law" for artificial entities. The term individual is defined as a singular "person". Man is a term of nature.]
- Whereas Respondent's agent acknowledges the Code of Criminal Procedure of Texas which states that the UNITED STATES is prohibited from enforcing federal law within Texas. [See Article 2.122 of the T.C.Cr.P.]
- 24. Whereas Respondent's agent has received no verification of Respondent's agent acquiring first hand knowledge of any of the assertions and presumptions of wrong doing made by Petitioner, SEC Receiver Michael Quilling, regarding the allegations in Civil Case No. 3:60-CV-0959-L.

Respondent's agent, Gary McDuff, affiant, makes this commercial affidavit under the penalty of perjury without the UNITED STATES under Title 28 USC § 1746(1) and reserves all of affiant's rights.

Purpose of Witnesses is for identification confirmation only and cannot be considered as representing any entrance into a foreign jurisdiction.

### Acknowledgement

Subscribed and sworn to on this 28th day of September, in the year 2006.



Mark [st Thurst print]

/L.S. My hand und Mark as subscriber

Gary McDuff, Secured Party and Respondent's agent, affiant

Witness

Witness:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 28th day of September, 2006, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing; Respondent's Challenge of Jurisdiction (2<sup>nd</sup> notice), and Commercial Affidavit of Truth was served, via first class mail, with full and proper postage prepaid thereon, to:

Michael Quilling 2001 Bryan Street, Suite 1800 Dallas, Texas 75201-4240 214 871-2100 Telephone

Karen Mitchell, Clerk "United States District Clerk" 1100 Commerce, Room 1452 Dallas, TX 75242

## Exhibit N

Filed 11/21/2006 Page 32 of 35

Case 3:06-cv-00959 Document 36-2

## Exhibit O

### RON THORNBURGH Secretary of State



Memorial Hall, 1st Floor 120 S.W. 10th Avenue Topeka, KS 66612-1594 (785) 296-4564

October 4, 2006

STATE OF KANSAS

GARY LYNN MCDUFF C/O 2231-B CENTER STREET #310 DEER PARK TX 77536

-UCC 1 FILE #: -6255533 FILE DATE: 10/02/2006 LAPSE DATE: NONE

Number of pages in this filing: 4

Our database contains the following debtor and secured party information for this filing.

Secured Party
GARY LYNN MCDUFF
C/0 2231-B CENTER STREET #310
DEER PARK TX 77536

Debtor MCDUFF, GARY LYNN, ORGANIZATION/TRADE NAME/TRADE MARK - DEBTOR C/O P.O. BOX 1525 HOUSTON TX 77251

Debtor
BOELTER, SHANNON MICHELLE, ORGANIZATION/TRADE NAME/TRADEMARK - DEBTOR
C/O P.O. BOX 1748
AUSTIN TX 76701

pka

48.

Gary Lynn McDuff Without prejudice – c/o 1314 Minchen Drive Deer Park, Texas 77536

11-20-2006 C.E.

Karen Mitchell, Clerk "United States District Clerk" 1100 Commerce, Room 1452 Dallas, TX 75242

Dear Madam and To Whom It May Concern,

Please find enclosed documents entitled:

RESPONDENT'S WRITTEN RESPONSE TO PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT

NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ASSENT, DEMAND, and SECOND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

NOTICE OF FILING OF DECLARATION OF SECURED PARTY TO INCLUDE IN AND TO AUGMENT THE RECORD

SECOND NOTICE OF SURETY ACT AND BOND

These document shall be filed and docketed into the referenced account-number: 3-06-CV-0959-L.

Thank you in advance for your professionalism in this matter.

Cordially and without prejudice,