## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

MICHAEL J. QUILLING, as Receiver	§	
for Megafund Corporation and	§	
Lancorp Financial Group, LLC,	§	
•	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	NO. 3-06-CV-0959-L
v.	§	
	§	
GARY McDUFF, et al.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

## **ORDER**

Defendant Gary McDuff, appearing *pro se*, has filed an application for an order staying enforcement of the judgment in this case pending appeal. For the reasons stated herein, the court **denies** the motion.

The federal rules permit a party to stay the execution of a judgment pending appeal by giving a supersedeas bond. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 62(d). Under Local Rule 62.1, such bond "shall be in the amount of the judgment, plus 20% of that amount to cover interest and any award of damages for delay, plus \$250.00 to cover costs." N.D. Tex. LCivR 62.1. Although a district court has discretion to stay the execution of judgment without a supersedeas bond or to reduce the amount of bond required for such a stay, the burden is on the moving party to objectively demonstrate the reasons for such a departure. *Poplar Grove Planting & Refining Co. v. Bache Halsey Stuart, Inc.*, 600 F.2d 1189, 1191 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1979). Among the factors relevant to deciding whether to waive the requirement of a full supersedeas bond are: (1) the complexity of the collection process, (2) the amount of time required to obtain a judgment; (3) the availability of funds to pay the judgment; (4) whether the

debtor's ability to pay the judgment is so obvious that to require a bond would be a waste of money; and (5) whether the debtor's financial condition is so precarious that the requirement to post a bond would place other creditors in an insecure position. *Wykle v. City of New Orleans*, 1997 WL 266615 at \*4 (E.D.La. May 20, 1997) (*citing Wilmer v. Board of County Commissioners of Leavenworth County, Kansas*, 844 F.Supp. 1414, 1419 (D. Kan. 1993), *aff'd*, 28 F.3d 114 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1994)).

The amount of the judgment in this case, excluding prejudgment and postjudgment interest, attorney's fees, and court costs, is \$304,272.58. In order to stay execution of the judgment, McDuff must post a supersedeas bond in that amount, plus 20%, for a total of at least \$365,127.10. *See* N.D. Tex. LCivR 62.1. McDuff has failed to allege, much less prove, any reason for staying enforcement of the judgment without posting a supersedeas bond. Accordingly, the court **denies** his application for a stay [Doc. #43].

It is so ordered this 1<sup>st</sup> day of February, 2007.

Sam A. Lindsay

United States District Judge