

COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, Michael J. Quilling, in his capacity as Receiver for Sardaukar Holdings, IBC and Bradley C. Stark ("Receiver") and files this his Complaint against Derek Schenk, Individually and d/b/a Halo Film Ventures, and in support of such would respectfully show unto the Court as follows:

Parties

- 1. Michael J. Quilling is the Receiver appointed for Sardaukar Holdings, IBC and Bradley C. Stark pending before the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, the Honorable Sam A. Lindsay presiding.
- 2. Derek Schenk, Individually and d/b/a as Halo Film Ventures, Defendant, is an individual resident and citizen of the State of New York and may be served with process at 95 23rd Avenue, Holtsville, New York 11742.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action because the actions stated herein constitute Receivership Assets within the meaning of the Order Appointing Receiver. The Order Appointing Receiver expressly states that all actions to determine disputes relating to Receivership Assets shall be filed in this Court. In addition, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 754, 1692 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(k)(1)(D).
- 4. Venue for this action is proper in the Northern District of Texas because: (1) the SEC Proceedings referenced below are pending in this District and this action is ancillary to it; (2) the Receiver was appointed in this District; and (3) this action involves Receivership Assets within the meaning of the Order Appointing Receiver. The Order Appointing Receiver expressly states that all actions to determine disputes relating to Receivership Assets shall be filed in this Court.

Background Facts

- 5. On July 1, 2005 the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") initiated Civil Action No. 3:05-CV-1328-L currently styled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Megafund Corporation, Stanley A. Leitner, Sardaukar Holdings, IBC, Bradley C. Stark, CIG, Ltd., and James A. Rumpf, Individually and d/b/a Cilak International, Defendants and Pamela C. Stark, Relief Defendant, and in connection therewith sought the appointment of a Receiver as to the Defendants and Relief Defendant. By Order dated July 5, 2005 in the SEC Proceedings, Michael J. Quilling was appointed as Receiver and has since continued to function in that capacity.
- 6. By subsequent Order dated July 19, 2005 in the SEC Proceedings, the receivership was expanded to include additional individuals and entities, including CIG, Ltd. and James A. Rumpf, Individually and d/b/a Cilak International. By virtue of that Order, Michael J. Quilling was

appointed as Receiver for each of the additional individuals and entities and he continues to function in that capacity since that time.

- 7. On February 1, 2006, the Court overseeing the SEC Proceedings entered an Order Reappointing Michael J. Quilling as Receiver for the Defendants and Relief Defendant. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754, the Receiver promptly filed his notice of reappointment in the Eastern District of New York on February 6, 2006. That notice is on file with the District Court Clerk as Misc. 06-035.
- 8. Sardaukar Holdings, IBC ("Sardaukar") is an entity that operated a *Ponzi* scheme and fraudulent investment program under the direction and control of Bradley C. Stark ("Stark"). In particular, investors sent funds to an account at JPMorganChase Bank, N.A. in the name of Sardaukar which were to be invested by Sardaukar under Stark's direction. However, as investor funds were received, he systemically diverted most of the funds to support an extravagant lifestyle and spending habits and to make *Ponzi* payments to investors. He also systemically diverted investor funds to his cronies so that they could share in the loot.
- 9. One such crony was Derek Schenk ("Defendant"). Based upon records currently available to the Receiver, on March 29, 2005 Stark caused Sardaukar to transfer \$160,000.00 to the Defendant. On July 5, 2005 Stark caused Sardaukar to transfer an additional \$100,000.00 to Defendant. The Defendant gave no benefit whatsoever to Sardaukar for the funds and other assets and has no legitimate claim to them.

COUNT ONE

Constructive Trust & Disgorgement

10. The Receiver incorporates paragraphs 1 through 9 set forth above as if set forth verbatim hereat.

- 11. The funds paid to Defendant constitute and are directly traceable to the funds of the defrauded investors. As such, they are impressed with a constructive trust and constitute Receivership Assets. The Defendant should be required to disgorge his ill-gotten gains.
- 12. Pursuant to principles of equity, the Receiver seeks the imposition of a constructive trust upon all funds paid to Defendant and a judgment requiring disgorgement of all amounts received. To the extent Defendant is unable to disgorge the funds received, the Receiver seeks a money judgment against him in an amount equal to the funds received.

COUNT TWO

Fraudulent Transfer

- 13. The Receiver incorporates paragraphs 1 through 12 set forth above as if set forth verbatim hereat.
- 14. The Sardaukar investment program was a *Ponzi* scheme. Consequently, all funds Defendant received from Sardaukar constitute fraudulent transfers. The Receiver is entitled to recover all such funds from Defendant as fraudulent transfers, for which amounts the Receiver hereby sues.

COUNT THREE

Fees, Expenses, Costs and Interest

- 15. The Receiver incorporates paragraphs 1 through 14 set forth above as if set forth verbatim hereat.
- 16. As a direct result of the conduct of Defendant, as alleged above, it has been necessary for the Receiver to file this action. The Receiver sues for all costs, expenses, attorneys' fees and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest to which he is entitled under the law or at equity.

JURY DEMAND

17. The Receiver respectfully requests that this case be tried before a jury.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Receiver prays that upon final trial hereof that the Court enter judgment in favor of the Receiver against the Defendant in an amount equal to the amount of investor funds received by him, plus pre- and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs of court and for such other and further relief, general or special, at law or in equity, to which the Receiver may show himself justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

QUILLING SELANDER CUMMISKEY & LOWNDS, P.C. 2001 Bryan Street, Suite 1800

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone (214) 871-2100

Facsimile (2/14) 871-2111

By:

Michael J. Quilling

State Bar No. 16432300

Email: mquilling@qsclpc.com

Michael D. Clark

State Bar No. 00798108

Email: mclark@qsclpc.com

Brent J. Rodine

State Bar No. 24048770

Email: brodine@gsclpc.com

ATTORNEYS FOR RECEIVER

Case 3:06-cv-00263 Document 1 Filed 02/10/2006 Page 6 of 6

The JS 44 civil cover sleet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local actes of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of intention the Court for the Court for the purpose of intention the Court for the C

of initiating the civil docket she	et. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON	THE REVERSE OF THE FO	RM.)	•	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
Michael J. Quilling, Receiver for Sardaukar Holdings, IBC and Bradley C. Stark			Derek Schenk, Individually and d/b/a Halo Film Ventures		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) FER 70 20			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED		
	Address, and Telephone Number	· •	Attorneys (If Known)		
Quilling Selander C 1800, Dallas, Texas	ummiskey & Lownds, Pe 75201, (214) 871-21002	HTHERN DISTRICT OF	Tm	06CV 0.2	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 Citizen of This State		-
2 U.S Government	■ 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State	-	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In Another State		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Onl	**	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
 □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Arrplane 315 Arrplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R R & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational ☐ Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards ☐ Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 2 Removed from State Court Appellate Court Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity). Appellate District Transferred from another district (specify) Transferred from another district (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity).					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		0	and fraudulent transfer	•/	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		DEMAND \$ 260,000.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☑ Yes ☐ No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	Sam A. Lindsay	DOCKET NUMBER	3:05-CV-1328-L
2/9/06		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG JUI	OGE