

1 HELANE L. MORRISON (Cal. Bar No. 127752)
JOHN S. YUN (Cal. Bar No. 112260)
2 PATRICK T. MURPHY (Admitted in New York)
THOMAS J. EME (Admitted in Illinois)
3 LLOYD A. FARNHAM (Cal. Bar No. 202231)

4 Attorneys for Plaintiff
5 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
44 Montgomery Street, 26th Floor
6 San Francisco, California 94104
7 Telephone: (415) 705-2500
Facsimile: (415) 705-2501
8

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SACRAMENTO DIVISION
13

14 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 SECURE INVESTMENT SERVICES, INC.,
18 AMERICAN FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.,
LYNDON GROUP, INC., DONALD F. NEUHAUS,
19 and KIMBERLY A. SNOWDEN,

20 Defendants.

Case No. 2:07-CV-01724-LEW-CMK

PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENT TO
NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

21
22 On August 23, 2007, plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission")
23 filed a Notice of Related Case in the above-captioned action to advise the Court of two
24 potentially related cases: Neuhaus, et al. v. Securities and Exchange Commission, No. 2:07-mc-
25 00025-FCD-GGH, a civil action previously filed in this District, and U.S. v. Donald Fred
26 Neuhaus, et al., No. 2:07-CR-366-GEB, a criminal proceeding currently pending in this District.
27 At the time of that Notice, the Commission was seeking the prompt assignment of a judge to
28

1 entertain its emergency motions for a temporary restraining order (with asset freeze) and for a
2 temporary receiver.

3 Following the filing of that Notice, Judge Ronald S.W. Lew determined that he would
4 entertain the Commission's emergency motions and apparently engaged in a time-consuming
5 review of the Commission's papers before issuing the requested temporary restraining order and
6 temporary receivership order on the afternoon of August 24, 2007. Judge Lew also set a hearing
7 on the Commission's request for a preliminary injunction for the morning of September 21,
8 2007, along with a briefing schedule.

9 In the previously filed Notice, the Commission suggested that judicial efficiency might
10 result from having cases assigned to a single judge. In light, however, of the effort that the Court
11 has already put into reviewing the Commission's emergency motion papers, the Commission
12 believes that judicial economy and efficiency would be best served if Judge Lew remains
13 assigned to the above-captioned civil case until, at the least, the September 21 hearing has taken
14 place and any appropriate orders are entered following the hearing.

15
16 Dated: August 27, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

17
18 /S/ Thomas J. Eme
THOMAS J. EME

19 HELANE L. MORRISON
20 JOHN S. YUN
21 PATRICK T. MURPHY
22 LLOYD A. FARNHAM
Attorneys for Plaintiff
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION